STATE OF DELAWARE OFFICE OF AUDITOR OF ACCOUNTS

WOODBRIDGE SCHOOL DISTRICT

EXPENDITURE CONTROLS REVIEW FOR THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2001 THROUGH JUNE 30, 2002

FOLLOW-UP REPORT

AS OF JUNE 28, 2005

R. THOMAS WAGNER, JR., CGFM, CFE AUDITOR OF ACCOUNTS

Executive Summary

The Office of Auditor of Accounts (AOA) completed a follow-up engagement to determine if recommendations were implemented for the following report, which was issued on September 15, 2003:

Woodbridge School District Expenditure Controls Review For the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

The results of the follow-up engagement are summarized below:

Policies and Procedures:

AOA recommended that Woodbridge School District (the District) develop written
policies and procedures related to expenditure transactions. The District implemented the
recommendation and now has sufficient policies and procedures.

Segregation of Duties:

AOA recommended that the District segregate duties so that no one employee has
complete control over the processing of transactions. The District did not implement the
recommendation and has not properly segregated duties or put into place other mitigating
controls.

Transaction Authorization:

 AOA recommended that the District obtain appropriate authorizing signatures prior to processing payments. The District implemented the recommendation.

Returned Vendor Checks – Support Documentation:

- AOA recommended that the District maintain proper support for checks that are returned to the District for mailing. The District implemented the recommendation.
- AOA recommended that the checks not be returned to the same person responsible for preparation/data entry/electronic authorization (segregation of duties). The District did not implement this recommendation.

Returned Vendor Checks – Prepayment of Goods or Services:

 AOA recommended that the District comply with the Delaware Budget and Accounting Manual by making payment after goods/services are received. The District partially implemented the recommendation. The District did not always maintain clear documentation of receipt of goods.

Executive Summary

Incorrect Object Codes:

• AOA recommended that the District establish controls to review object codes for accuracy. The District partially implemented the recommendation. All documents tested contained the proper object codes; however, per inquiry of District officials, object codes were not reviewed for accuracy.

Numerous Payments to Vendors:

• AOA recommended that the District implement procedures to facilitate the reduction in the number of payments to vendors. The District implemented the recommendation.

Table of Contents

Audit Authority	1
Background	
Objective, Scope, and Methodology	3
Status of Prior Year Findings and Recommendations	4
Distribution of Report	

Audit Authority

Title 29, Del. C. c. 29 authorizes the Auditor of Accounts to perform post audits of all the financial transactions of all State agencies. The law requires that the audits be made in conformity with generally accepted auditing principles and practices. Such principles and practices are established by two standard setting bodies: the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, which has issued generally accepted auditing standards; and the U.S. General Accounting Office, which has issued generally accepted government auditing standards.

Background

On September 15, 2003, the Office of Auditor of Accounts (AOA) issued the following report:

Woodbridge School District Expenditures Controls Review For the period July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002

During that engagement, AOA reviewed expenditure controls for transactions less than or equal to \$2,500. The controls were reviewed to determine (1) the adequacy of internal control policies and procedures, (2) if the policies and procedures were followed and working effectively, and (3) whether expenditures were legal and proper. The review identified the following weaknesses:

Policies and Procedures

Woodbridge School District did not have internal written policies and procedures documenting their system of internal control over expenditures.

Segregation of Duties

Individuals preparing the accounting documents were also responsible for data entering, applying electronic agency level approvals in the Delaware Financial Management System (DFMS), mailing vendor checks, and reconciling transactions.

Transaction Authorization

Two of ten payment vouchers (PV's) examined did not contain the proper authorizations necessary for a transaction to process.

Returned Vendor Checks – Support Documentation

There was no documentation to support when eight of ten vendor checks returned to the District were mailed to the vendor. One PV contained no documentation to support an expenditure for lodging.

Returned Checks - Prepayment of Goods and Services

Vendor payments were made prior to receipt of goods/service in order for the District to avoid Fiscal Year 2002 monies from reverting to the State's General Fund. Two of ten checks reviewed were for prepayment of goods/services not to be provided until Fiscal Year 2003.

Incorrect Object Codes

Disbursements were found to have incorrect coding. Two vendor payments were found to have incorrect object codes.

Numerous Payments to Vendors

AOA found numerous payments made to the same vendor throughout the year. One vendor received 73 payments, one received 64, and another received 33. There were twenty-seven vendors that received more than twelve payments during Fiscal Year 2002.

Objective, Scope, and Methodology

OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE

The objective of the follow-up engagement was to identify and evaluate the adequacy of management actions in response to the findings and recommendations included in the final report of the Woodbridge School District Expenditure Controls Review issued on September 15, 2003.

The scope of the follow-up engagement was limited to the findings and recommendations in the above aforementioned report. The previous findings and recommendations included the areas of Internal Control Policies and Procedures, Segregation of Duties, Transaction Authorization, Returned Vendor Checks, Incorrect Object Codes and Numerous Payment to Vendors. Testing of the status of the previous recommendations was performed for the period from July 1, 2004 through April 30, 2005.

METHODOLOGY

AOA conducted this engagement in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). Our procedures consisted of interviews and inquiry of key personnel; inspection and confirmation of documentation; observation of procedures; and performing tests of key controls when considered necessary.

The following table summarizes prior year findings, recommendations, management responses, and the results of the current year follow-up engagement for the Woodbridge School District Expenditure Controls Review Report issued September 15, 2003.

Taulbull	Recommendation	Response	Current Year Status*
Policies and Procedures			
The District does not have written policies	Develop written policies and	The District is in the	Implemented.
or procedures documenting their system	procedures for establishing and	process of contacting	
of internal control over expenditures.	maintaining a system of internal	neighboring districts	
	controls over expenditures in	to request a copy of	
	accordance with the Delaware	their district's policy	T. Markatyona
	Budget and Accounting Manual.	and procedures	
		regarding internal	
		controls. These	
		documents will be	
		reviewed and revised	
		to develop a	
		Woodbridge School	
		District manual.	
Segregation of Duties			
There is a lack of segregation of duties	The duties of data entry, electronic	Without a change in	Not Implemented.
over the processing of accounting	approval, mailing of checks, and	the state secretarial	
transactions at the District.	reconciliation be segregated	allocation formula, it	AOA recommended
	among different officials so that	is practically	specific controls to the
	no one employee has complete	impossible to further	District for segregating
	control over the processing of	segregate and at the	duties among different
	transactions.	same time efficiently	individuals so that no one
		process our	employee has complete
		transactions.	control over the processing
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		of transactions.

Finding	Recommendation	Response	Current Year Status*
Transaction Authorization			
Without proper approval of expenditures,	The District ensure that the	The PV's were	Implemented.
the District cannot ensure that all	appropriate authorizing signatures	processed without	
payments made are appropriate.	for their PV's be obtained before	the authorizing	
	documents are processed.	stamp of the	
		District's Board	
		President in error.	
Returned Vendor Checks - Support Documentation	ntation		
Support documentation was inadequate	Supporting documentation should	The check will be	Implemented.
and/or missing for vendor checks not	include a copy of the mailed	photocopied,	
mailed directly to the applicable vendors	check, the date the check was	attached to the	
but instead returned to the District for	mailed/delivered to the payee, and	supporting	
mailing.	invoices, etc. should be	documentation, and	
	maintained and systematically	dated as to when	
	filed.	received and sent.	
All checks were returned to the same	Checks returned to the District for	Without a change in	Not implemented.
individual responsible for preparation/	disposition should not be returned	the secretarial	•
data entry and electronic authorization of	to the same person responsible for	allocation formula, it	AOA recommended
the PV.	preparation/data entry and	is practically	specific controls to the
	electronic authorization of the PV.	impossible to further	District for segregating
		segregate and at the	duties so that checks are
		same time efficiently	not returned to the same
		process transactions.	person responsible for
			preparation/data
			entry/electronic
77-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-			authorization.

Pading	Recommendation	Response	Current Vear Status*
Returned Vendor Checks - Prepayment of C	Goods or Services		
Two vendor checks were for goods or	The District comply with the	The District will	Partially implemented.
services not yet received.	Delaware Budget and Accounting	endeavor to comply	
	Manual (DBAM) by making	with the DBAM and	The District did not always
	payment only after goods/services	make payment only	maintain clear
	are received.	after goods and	documentation of receipt of
		services are received.	goods; therefore, it could
			not be determined whether
			goods/services were
			received prior to payment.
Incorrect Object Codes			
Two vendor payments were found to have	The District establish and	The District will	Partially implemented.
incorrect object codes.	implement accounting controls	make the changes to	
	over expenditures to include	the object codes as	All documents tested
	procedures that object codes be	recommended.	contained the proper object
	reviewed for accuracy.		codes; however, per inquiry
			of District officials, object
			codes are not reviewed for
			accuracy.
Numerous Payments to Vendors			
Numerous payments were made to the	The District implement	The District has	Implemented.
same vendor throughout the year. One	procedures to facilitate the	endeavored to pay all	
vendor received 73 payments, one	reduction in the number of	invoices in a timely	
received 64, and another received 33.	payments to each vendor, each	manner. The District	
There were twenty-seven vendors that	month.	understands the	
received more than twelve payments		efficiency of	
during Fiscal Year 2002.		"batching" invoices	
		on one PV and will if	
The state of the s		possible.	

* Status Key:

- Implemented: The concern has been addressed by implementing the original or an alternate corrective action.
- Not Implemented: The corrective action has not been initiated.
- Partially Implemented: The corrective action has been initiated but is not complete and the auditor has reason to believe management fully intends to address the concern.

Distribution of Report

Copies of this report have been distributed to the following public officials:

Executive Branch

The Honorable Ruth Ann Minner, Governor, State of Delaware The Honorable Richard S. Cordrey, Secretary, Department of Finance The Honorable Jennifer W. Davis, Budget Director, Office of the Budget

Legislative Branch

The Honorable Russell T. Larson, Controller General, Office of the Controller General

Other Elective Offices

The Honorable M. Jane Brady, Attorney General, Office of the Attorney General

Other

Dr. Joseph A. Pika, President, State Board of Education

Ms. Dorcell S. Spence, Associate Secretary of Education, Finance and Administrative Services Branch, Department of Education

Mr. Jerry Gallagher, Director, Financial Management, Department of Education

Ms. Becki Surguy, Accountant V, Division of Accounting, Department of Finance

Mr. Willis G. Dewey, President, Board of Education, Woodbridge School District

Members, Board of Education, Woodbridge School District

This report is also available at no charge on the Office of Auditor of Accounts website at www.state.de.us/auditor/index.htm or by requesting a copy in writing to:

State of Delaware Office of Auditor of Accounts Townsend Building, Suite 1 401 Federal Street Dover, DE 19901